

Dynamical black holes with a torus topology

Pouria Dadras

Department of Physics, Sharif University of Technology, Tehran, Iran

J. T. Firouzjaee and Reza Mansouri

Department of Physics, Sharif University of Technology, Tehran, Iran and

*School of Astronomy, Institute for Research in Fundamental Sciences (IPM), Tehran, Iran**

(Dated: July 17, 2012)

We investigate the dynamical horizon area law formalism with a torus topology in its cross section. A special solution of Einstein equations of the general Vaidya form with a flat torus topology on the cross section of its dynamical horizon is put forward. The solution, having a dynamical horizon, has a non-vanishing matter flux inside the horizon and therefor increase in the area of its horizon cross section. We have shown that with vanishing matter flux inside the horizon, this horizon becomes the isolated horizon. Finally, we find that the dynamical horizon area law matched to the stationary case.

PACS numbers: 95.30.Sf, 98.80.-k, 98.62.Js, 98.65.-r

I. INTRODUCTION

In general relativity it was widely believed that black holes formed by gravitational collapse should have spherical horizon. Hawking proved that each connected component of the event horizon of a stationary black hole in four dimensional space time has the topology of a 2-sphere [1]. Hawkings theorem was later generalized by Gannon [2] who proved, replacing stationarity by some weaker assumptions, that the horizon must be either spherical or toroidal. On the other hand, Chrusciel and Wald [3] showed that each connected component of a cross-section of the event horizon of a stationary black hole must have spherical topology. Jacobson et al. [4] proved that, under certain conditions, the topology of the event horizon of a four dimensional asymptotically flat black hole space time must be 2-sphere.

In fact, as was shown by Shapiro, Teutolsky and Winicour [5], a temporarily toroidal horizon can be formed in gravitational collapse, in a way consistent with the theorems. The genus one black hole area law was considered by [6], and the entropy were computed and used to argue that the mass spectrum of states is positive definite. This calculation was extended to d dimensions with a negative cosmological constant [7]. Recently, toroidal and higher genus asymptotically AdS black holes have been considered [8]. They matched some metrics such as Lemaitre-Tolman-Bondi-like and McVittie solution to toroidal or higher genus asymptotically AdS black holes. However, no general formalism for black hole thermodynamics in the case of torus topology for the horizon cross sections were given.

Making a model for a dynamical black hole can be the first step to knowing the cosmological black hole [9]. A general formalism for the area law in the dynamical case was first formulated in [10], mentioning the possibility of toroidal cross section of the horizon and formulating the corresponding black hole area law. Using a 3+1 decomposition and the Gauss-Bonnet theorem, they found a formalism which identifies the rate at which the radius of cross sections increases precisely according to the matter flux and gravitational wave on the horizon in the case that the topology of cross sections is spherical and cosmological constant is strictly positive. However, their formalism says nothing when the topology of cross sections is toroidal which may happen in the case of zero and negative cosmological constant. In this paper we will show by a concrete example that there is dynamical horizons having a cross section with torus topology with non zero matter flux on the horizon.

We will follow in this paper definitions and notations used in [10]. They introduced a local definition of horizon as a three dimensional manifold H in space time which can be foliated by closed 2-dimensional surfaces S , assuming special characteristic of the expansion on each leaf. The space-time metric g_{ab} has signature $(-, +, +, +)$ and its covariant derivative operator will be denoted by ∇ . The Riemann tensor is defined by $R_{abc}{}^d W_d := 2\nabla_{[a}\nabla_{b]}W_c$, the Ricci tensor by $R_{ab} := R_{acb}{}^c$, and the scalar curvature by $R := g^{ab}R_{ab}$. The unit normal to H will be denoted by $\hat{\tau}^a$; $g_{ab}\hat{\tau}^a\hat{\tau}^b = -1$. The intrinsic metric and the extrinsic curvature of H are denoted by $q_{ab} := g_{ab} + \hat{\tau}_a\hat{\tau}_b$ and

*Electronic address: j.taghizadeh.f@ipm.ir

$K_{ab} := q_a^c q_b^d \nabla_c \hat{\tau}_d$ respectively. D is the covariant derivative operator on H compatible with q_{ab} , $\mathcal{R}_{ab}$ its Ricci tensor and $\mathcal{R}$ its scalar curvature. The unit space-like vector orthogonal to S and tangent to H is denoted by $\hat{r}^a$. Quantities intrinsic to S will be generally written with a tilde. Thus, the two-metric on S is $\tilde{q}_{ab}$ and the extrinsic curvature of $S \subset H$ is $\tilde{K}_{ab} := \tilde{q}_a^c \tilde{q}_b^d D_c \hat{r}_d$; the derivative operator on $(S, \tilde{q}_{ab})$ is $\tilde{D}$ and its Ricci tensor is $\tilde{\mathcal{R}}_{ab}$. Finally, we can fix the rescaling freedom in the choice of null normals $\ell^a := \hat{\tau}^a + \hat{r}^a$ and $n^a := \hat{\tau}^a - \hat{r}^a$ such that $\ell^a n_a = -2$. The shear tensor σ_{ab} of the null vector ℓ^a and its trace σ are defined as usual. A dynamical horizon is then defined as a three dimensional space like sub-manifold of space time such that it can be foliated with closed orientable two dimensional surfaces on which the expansion $\Theta_{(\ell)}$ vanishes, and the expansion $\Theta_{(n)}$ of the other null normal is negative.

Section 2 is devoted to a short review of the area law formalism for dynamical horizons in different cases of the cosmological constant. The area law for the dynamical horizon in a concrete metric with AdS back ground ($\Lambda < 0$) as an exact solution of the Einstein equations is discussed in section III. We then conclude in section IV.

II. AREA LAW FOR DYNAMICAL BLACK HOLES

Now, having the necessary definitions and notations, we may write the first consequence of the above definition of a dynamical horizon, using the relations $\Theta_{(\ell)} = 0$ and $\Theta_{(n)} < 0$, in the form

$$\tilde{K} = \tilde{q}^{ab} D_a \hat{r}_b = \frac{1}{2} \tilde{q}^{ab} \nabla_a (\ell_b - n_b) = -\frac{1}{2} \Theta_{(n)} > 0. \quad (1)$$

Hence, the area a_S of S will increase monotonically along $\hat{r}^a$ by the change of the cross sections, which is equivalent to the second law of black hole mechanics on H . To obtain an explicit expression for the area change the authors in [10] take first two fixed cross-sections S_1 and S_2 of H , and then integrate the result on a portion $\Delta H \subset H$ bounded by S_1 and S_2 with the corresponding radii R_1 and R_2 . Note that R is the area radius of S defined by $a_S = 4\pi R^2$, a_S being the surface area of S independent of its topology. Following result is then obtained using Gauss-Bonnet theorem[10]:

$$\mathcal{I}(R_2 - R_1) = 16\pi G \int_{\Delta H} (T_{ab} - \frac{\Lambda}{8\pi G} g_{ab}) \hat{\tau}^a \xi_{(R)}^b d^3V + \int_{\Delta H} N_R \{|\sigma|^2 + 2|\zeta|^2\} d^3V, \quad (2)$$

where $\mathcal{I}$ is the Euler characteristic of S ; The scalar $|\zeta|$ is the length of the vector $\zeta^a = \tilde{q}^{ab} \hat{r}^c \nabla_b \ell_c$ and $\xi_{(R)}^a = N_R \ell^a$ where the lapse function is given by $N_R = |\partial R|$. The first term on the right hand side is usually called the matter flux, $\mathcal{F}_{matter}^{(R)}$, and the second term is the gravitational wave flux, $\mathcal{F}_{grav}^{(R)}$. The discussion on the topology of S is now divided in three cases depending on the cosmological constant.

Case 1: $\Lambda > 0$. Since the stress energy tensor T_{ab} is assumed to satisfy the dominant energy condition, the right hand side is manifestly positive definite. Due to the fact that the area increases along $\hat{r}^a$, we must have $R_2 - R_1 > 0$. It then follows that $\mathcal{I}$ has to be positive. Therefore, the closed, orientable 2-manifolds S are necessarily topologically 2-spheres and $\mathcal{I} = 8\pi$. Eq.(2) now gives a measure for the increase of the horizon cross section area[10]:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{R_2 - R_1}{2G} &= \int_{\Delta H} (T_{ab} - \frac{\Lambda}{8\pi G} g_{ab}) \hat{\tau}^a \xi_{(R)}^b d^3V \\ &+ \frac{1}{16\pi G} \int_{\Delta H} N_R \{|\sigma|^2 + 2|\zeta|^2\} d^3V. \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

Case 2 : $\Lambda = 0$. The right hand side of eq.(2) is necessarily non-negative. Hence, the topology of S is either that of a 2-sphere (if the right hand side is positive) or that of a 2-torus (if the right hand side vanishes). The torus topology can occur if and only if $T_{ab} \ell^b$, σ_{ab} and ζ^a all vanish everywhere on H . Therefore, it may be concluded that the scalar curvature $\tilde{\mathcal{R}}$ of S must also vanish on every cross-section. The 2-manifold S then has to be a flat torus. Using the fact that H is space-like, we conclude that in this case $\mathcal{L}_n \Theta_{(\ell)} = 0$ everywhere on H . Thus, in this case the dynamical horizon cannot be a FOTH [10]. Furthermore, since $\Theta_{(\ell)}$, σ_{ab} , and $R_{ab} \ell^b$ all vanish on H , the Raychaudhuri equation now implies that $\mathcal{L}_\ell \Theta_{(\ell)}$ also vanishes.

Note the following cases:

- In the case of torus topology the transition to the stationary case and isolated horizon is not trivial[10]. Given that in the stationary case the topology can not be a torus [1], a topology change is then unavoidable [13]. The procedure used in [10] to understand the transition to the isolated horizon is based on the relation

$$f \mathcal{L}_n \Theta_{(\ell)} = -\sigma^2 - R_{ab} \ell^a \ell^b, \quad (4)$$

where f is the length of a space-like vector orthogonal to S and tangent to H . Now, due to the fact that in the case of torus topology we have $\mathcal{L}_n \Theta_{(\ell)} = 0$, it can not be concluded that $f = 0$ which is a necessary condition for the isolated horizon with the sphere topology.

- The familiar matter flux as defined in (2) does vanish in the case of the torus topology. However, by changing the definition of $\xi_{(R)}$ in (2) to $\xi_{(R)}^b = cn^b$, where c is an appropriate coefficient related to f , we may arrive at a non-vanishing matter flux. That this is not always the case, we will see on hand a concrete example in the section (3). We may also note that the positivity of the extrinsic curvature of S along r^a in the case of torus topology does not necessarily means an area increase. This is due to the vanishing of the left hand side of (2).

Case 3: $\Lambda < 0$. In this case there is no control on the sign of the right hand side of eq.(2). Hence, any topology is permissible. Stationary solutions with quite general topologies are known for black holes which are locally asymptotically anti-de Sitter[6]. Event horizons of these solutions are potential asymptotic states of these dynamical horizons in the distant future.

III. AREA LAW FOR A DYNAMICAL BLACK HOLE IN ADS BACK GROUND

We are interested in a solution of Einstein equations with negative cosmological constant representing a black hole with a dynamical horizon which has toroidal cross sections. Let us start with a metric in the general Vaidya form:

$$ds^2 = -f(v, r)dv^2 + 2dvdr + r^2(d\theta^2 + d\phi^2), \quad (5)$$

with the arbitrary function f of coordinates v and r , where v is the advanced time coordinate with $-\infty < v < \infty$, r is the radial coordinate with $0 < r < \infty$, and θ, ϕ are coordinates describing the two-dimensional zero-curvature space generated by the two-dimensional commutative Lie group G_2 of isometries [6].

The black hole apparent horizon is space-like and located at

$$f(v, r) := 0. \quad (6)$$

The expansions of the corresponding null normals are

$$\Theta_\ell = \frac{f}{r}, \quad \text{and} \quad \Theta_n = -\frac{4}{r}. \quad (7)$$

Note that Θ_n is always negative and Θ_ℓ vanishes precisely at the horizon, as required by a dynamical horizon. The unit normal to the horizon is given by

$$\hat{\tau}_a = \frac{1}{\sqrt{|2\dot{f}f'|}}[\dot{f}, f'] \quad \text{and} \quad \hat{\tau}^a = \frac{1}{\sqrt{|2\dot{f}f'|}}[f', \dot{f}]. \quad (8)$$

The constant r surfaces are the preferred cross sections of the horizon and the unit space-like normal $\hat{r}^a$ to these cross sections is given by

$$\hat{r}_a = \frac{1}{\sqrt{|2\dot{f}f'|}}[-\dot{f}, f'] \quad \text{and} \quad \hat{r}^a = \frac{1}{\sqrt{|2\dot{f}f'|}}[f', -\dot{f}]. \quad (9)$$

The properly rescaled null normals are then given by

$$\ell^a = \frac{2|f'|}{\sqrt{|2\dot{f}f'|}}(1, 0, 0, 0) \quad \text{and} \quad n^a = \frac{2\dot{f}}{\sqrt{|2\dot{f}f'|}}(0, 1, 0, 0). \quad (10)$$

The lapse function corresponding to the radial coordinate r , which in this case is identical to the area radius, is given by

$$N_r = \left| \frac{\dot{f}}{2f'} \right|^{1/2}. \quad (11)$$

Thus the properly rescaled vector field corresponding to the radial coordinate r is $\xi_{(R=r)}^a = N_r \ell^a = (\partial/\partial v)^a$. Now, take the following special solution with toroidal horizon configurations suggested in [14]

$$ds^2 = - \left(\alpha^2 r^2 - \frac{\beta m(v)}{r} \right) dv^2 + 2dvdr + r^2(d\theta^2 + d\phi^2), \quad (12)$$

where $0 \leq \theta < 2\pi$, $0 \leq \phi < 2\pi$. The corresponding energy-momentum tensor is then given by

$$\begin{aligned} T_{ab} &= \frac{\beta}{8\pi r^2} \frac{dm(v)}{dv} k_a k_b, \\ k_a &= -\delta_a^v, \quad k_a k^a = 0, \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

where $\alpha \equiv \sqrt{\frac{-\Lambda}{3}}$, $\beta = q/\alpha$, and $m(v)$ is the Misner-Sharp mass. In these coordinates, lines with $v = \text{constant}$ represent incoming radial null vectors having tangent vectors in the form $k^a = (0, -1, 0, 0)$, or $k_a = (-1, 0, 0, 0)$. The energy momentum tensor depends, in general, on Λ and diverges as $\Lambda \rightarrow 0$. To assume a toroidal cross section and apply the eq.(2), we therefore ask for β to be independent of Λ , leading to $q = \frac{2\alpha}{\pi}$.

Now, noting that the energy-density of the radiation is $\epsilon = \frac{1}{4\pi^2 r^2} \frac{dm}{dv}$, one sees that the weak energy condition for the radiation is satisfied whenever $\frac{dm}{dv} \geq 0$, i.e., the radiation is imploding. The apparent horizon surface is now defined by

$$qm|_{AH} = \alpha^3 r|_{AH}^3. \quad (14)$$

Having specified f , and noting that $\dot{f} = -\frac{qm}{\alpha r}$ and $f' = \frac{2\alpha^3 r^3 + qm}{\alpha r^2}$, we are able to calculate the gravitational flux leading to

$$\mathcal{F}_{\text{grav}}^{(R)} = \frac{1}{16\pi G} \int_{\Delta H} N_R \{ |\sigma|^2 + 2|\zeta|^2 \} d^3V = 0. \quad (15)$$

Therefore, from the equation (2) we conclude that in the case of toroidal topology the matter flux term has to be zero, i.e. $\mathcal{F}_{\text{matter}}^{(R)} = 0$. This means that *ordinary matter fluid*, $\mathcal{F}_{\text{ordinary matter}}^{(R)} = \int_{\Delta H} T_{ab} \hat{\tau}^a \xi_{(R)}^b d^3V$, equals to *fluid*, $\mathcal{F}_{\text{fluid}}^{(R)} = \int_{\Delta H} \frac{\Lambda}{8\pi G} g_{ab} \hat{\tau}^a \xi_{(R)}^b d^3V$.

In the case of $\dot{m}|_{AH} = 0$, the $\hat{\tau}^a$ will be null this means that the matter inside the apparent horizon is constant: $m|_{AH} = \text{const}$. Thus, the apparent horizon surface becomes constant and do not increase (Isolated horizon). Now, let us differentiate two cases:

- *m is constant everywhere* : In this case, the horizon is isolated. The following coordinate transformations will then give us the stationary metric suggested in [6]:

$$t = v - \int \frac{dr}{(\alpha^2 r^2 - \frac{qm}{\alpha r})}. \quad (16)$$

Therefore, all results stated in [6] for this metric including the formula for the black hole area law are applicable here.

- *m is constant on the horizon ($m|_{AH} = \text{constant}$)* : This case corresponds to a genuine dynamical horizon according to [10]. However, in contrast to the case of spherical topology, where the growth of the dynamical horizon is due to the matter flux into it, in this case the matter flux is vanishing, as it is the case for an isolated horizon. We are, therefore, facing a solution representing a dynamical horizon while there is matter flux inside the horizon which confirm the same result in the dynamical horizon with sphere topology. This may not be a general feature of a dynamical horizon with a torus topology.

IV. CONCLUSION

We have faced the question if the definition of a dynamical horizon imply an increase of the mass on the horizon, as stated in [10]. A metric in the Vaidya form with the torus topology of the cross sections of its dynamical horizon has been studied. Similar to the spherical dynamical horizon, this horizon is dynamical and has non-vanishing matter flux. The dynamical horizon of this metric has also a stationary limit identical to that discussed in [6].

V. ACKNOWLEDGMENT

We would like to thank Abhay Ashtekar for suggesting the problem.

-
- [1] Hawking, S. W., *Comm. Math. Phys* 25, 152 (1972); Hawking, S. W. and Ellis, G. F. R., *The Large Scale Structure of Spacetime*, Cambridge University Press (page 335).
 - [2] Gannon, D., *Gen. Rel. Grav.* 7, 219 (1976).
 - [3] P. T. Chrusciel and R. M. Wald, *Class. Quantum Grav.* 11, L147 (1994).
 - [4] T. Jacobson and S. Venkataramani. *Class. and Quantum Grav.* 12, 1055 (1995).
 - [5] S.L. Shapiro, S.A. Teutolsky and J. Winicour. *Phys. Rev. D* 52, 6982 (1995).
 - [6] L. Vanzo, *Phys. Rev. D* 56, 6475 (1997) [gr-qc/9705004].
 - [7] Danny Birmingham 1999 *Class. Quantum Grav.* 16 1197.
 - [8] Filipe C. Mena, Jose Natario, Paul Tod, *Adv.Theor.Math.Phys.* 12 (2008) 1163-1181; Filipe C. Mena, Jose Natario, Paul Tod, *Annales Henri Poincare* 10:1359-1376,2010.
 - [9] J.T. Firouzjaee, Reza Mansouri, *Gen. Relativity Gravitation.* 42, 2431 (2010), [arXiv:0812.5108]; J.T. Firouzjaee, *Int. J. Mod. Phys. D*, 21, 1250039 (2012) [arXiv:1102.1062].
 - [10] A. Ashtekar and B. Krishnan, *Phys. Rev. D* 68, 104030 (2003). [arXiv:gr-qc/0308033].
 - [11] S. Hayward, "General laws of black hole dynamics" *Phys. Rev. D* 49, 6467-6474 (1994) [gr-qc/9303006].
 - [12] Michael I. Cohen, Jeffrey D. Kaplan, and Mark A. Scheel [gr-qc/1110.1668].
 - [13] Gray T Horowitz, *Class. Quantum Grav.* 8 587 (1991).
 - [14] J. P. S. Lemos, *Phys. Rev. D* 57, 4600 (1998).